

INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT						
Area of Review	IT controls					
Contact Officer	Internal Audit Manager					
Date	February 2022					
Version	Final					

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 As part of the 2021-22 Internal Audit Plan, a review of the key controls for IT has been undertaken.
- 1.2 Responsibility for IT controls for centralised systems lie with the Head of Corporate Services, with the day to day administration and management of IT controls being the responsibility of the IT Manager. Responsibility for IT controls for devolved systems lie with the relevant Head of Service and System Administrators.
- 1.3 It is important to note that a sample of cloud based hosted systems were chosen within this review. These were the Zellis, Uniform and Arbitas systems. The Corporate Network was also reviewed.

2. SCOPE OF THE REVIEW

- 2.1 We have reviewed the policies and processes in place for officers to ensure that IT controls are complied with. We have performed walkthrough testing, where appropriate, and assessed the effectiveness of internal controls to ensure that risk is managed effectively.
- 2.2 Specific elements of the review have included:
 - IT systems access
 - GDPR
 - Cyber security

3. CONTROL OBJECTIVES

3.1 The scope of this review has encompassed the following control objectives:

Control	Objectives
1	That all IT system access controls are managed effectively.
	That Data held on IT systems complies with the requirements of GDPR.
	That there are adequate business continuity and emergency response measures in place in the event of a cyber security attack

4. AUDIT APPROACH

- 4.1 Our audit approach to this review has been to:
 - Obtain and understand the relevant processes through discussions with key personnel, review of systems documentation and perform walkthrough tests where appropriate.
 - Identify the key risks within the function.
 - Evaluate and test the effectiveness of the controls in place to address these risks.
 - This review has been undertaken in compliance with the Internal Audit Public Sector Standards 2017.

5. OPINION ON CONTROL FRAMEWORK

5.1 The overall level of opinion that can be provided on the internal control framework over the Corporate IT network and applications controlled by the Corporate IT service is:

Levels of Ass	urance
Substantial	Substantial assurance given where there is a sound system of controls in place, which applied consistently to enable achievement of the intended objective.
Satisfactory	Satisfactory assurance given where there is generally a sound system of internal control in place with only minor lapses, and in general, objectives achieved.
Limited	Limited assurance is given where controls in place are not always applied and objectives may not be achieved, meaning the Council is exposed to the risk of financial loss, fraud or the loss of reputation.
None	No assurance is given where weaknesses in control has resulted if a failure to achieve objectives

- 5.2. The level of assurance provided for IT applications managed outside of the Corporate IT function is limited. Levels of assurance are based on the key findings summarised in paragraph 5.4.
- 5.3 This report seeks to highlight some of the main issues and assist in the development of an improvement plan. There is one high risk, seven medium risk and two low risk recommendations.

- 5.4 Key areas requiring improvement for the Abritas, Uniform and Zellis applications include:
 - Governance; including roles and responsibilities.
 - Users access including; consistency of process and temporary staff/agency access
 - Cyber attack recovery documentation
 - National Cyber Security Centre advice and guidance, in particular their public sector cloud security guidance.
 - GDPR and cyber security training for temporary / agency staff.

In addition, other areas for improvement include;

- Polices and Written Procedure Notes
- Third party access particularly around the AD accounts through the key internal control of reconciliations
- 5.5 A request was made to the contractor for information to give assurances that it had been requested by the Council's IT section for appropriate personnel to be removed, where required, that this had occurred. However, no response has to this date, been received.

6. SUMMARY OF IDENTIFIED RISKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO BE AGREED WITH MANAGEMENT

1	#	Risk		Risk Assessment			Responsible Officer	Target Date
	1 0 1	access to the council's data which could lead to a financial risk through noncompliance with GDPR regulations.	administrators are not always		outside of the corporate network should be included in the new starter and	This was recognised and IT will identify systems and will work through ensuring that managers are notified.	_	February 2022
	i	assessed, analysed and mitigated if they are not included in the appropriate	clearly defined responsibilities for service areas		administrators to ensure that they are fully aware of their responsibilities. This should include:	It was agreed at Leadership team 01/03/2022 that the IT manager and the Information Governance Officer will set up meetings with the	Leadership Team	June 2022

	documentation,	security,		Reconciliation of	administrators of		
	I.e., the service risk	•			the devolved		
	registers.	and cyber			systems and		
		security of the		System access	address the		
		Arbritas, Uniform		management of	control / cyber /		
		and Zellis		agency / temporary	GDPR		
		systems		staff	requirements to		
		including			ensure 		
				System administration	compliance.		
		identification and		management and review			
		documentation		review			
		of risks,		Inactivity report	Procedure notes		
				monitoring	will be produced to document the		
				monitoring	controls required		
				Actions to be taken in	and these		
				l	procedures will be		
				attack	circulated to		
					Managers and		
				Consideration to be	system		
					administrators		
				updating job			
				descriptions			
				A dis a va ta NOCO			
				Adhere to NCSC			
3	Without Electronic	There are no	Medium	security guidance There should be	This will be	IT Manager	March 2022
3	Written Procedure	electronic written	wealulli	electronic written	discussed with	i ivianager	iviaitii 2022
	Notes, there is no	procedure notes			HR to ensure that		
	point of reference	for the new		ļ!	it is included.		
	for staff to refer to	starter and		new starter and leaver			
		Statter allu					
Щ	1	L		1	1	<u> </u>	l .

		leaver process for the network.		process recently introduced.	Managers have been told on numerous occasions that the new IT form is the new process		
4	have the facility for disabling inactive accounts after a set number of days if it is not stated as required in the IT Security Policy which could put the Council's data at risk.	when they are	Low	It should be recorded within the appropriate policies that accounts will be disabled if inactive for a set number of days and that this is actioned.	This is noted – there are a number of systems used with the authority with different timescales. This is a process being worked through. Systems identified and integrated in to Azure ID	IT Manager	Ongoing
5	reconciliation of the active directory and other IT systems managed in service	on a quarterly basis the active AD accounts. A follow up review found that	Medium	That AD checks are documented and actions to remove users are followed up and documented.	Quarterly checks are completed by Hart IT this is reviewed and anomalies	IT Manager	Ongoing

	access to the network and non compliance of GDPR	despite a request for users to be removed they had not been. Audit trails of these checks were not always available		highlighted with Capita.		
6	There would be no readily available source of reference. Without controlled policy documents, duties and responsibilities could become confused and/or not operated.	Corporate documents held on Sharepoint for staff to refer to are controlled by the author who sets the permissions themselves and staff and management may not be aware of this	It should be clearly stated within an appropriate policy to ensure that staff and managers are aware of their responsibilities with regard to putting corporate documents on to Sharepoint.	Reminders and guidance should be given to officers	IT Manager	March 2022
7	There is a risk to the Council and personal data if controls have not been considered for new IT systems.	It was established that the current Housing system is being decommissioned with a new	plan prior to implementation and once the new system is	been arranged between the IT manager and the Housing System	Housing	March 2022

		system being built. A number of documents relating to controls was requested. The system administrator stated that this information will be used to help build the processes and procedures in the new system.	efficient. Should the new system not be up and running then the controls should be incorporated into the current system.	controls are included in the project implementation. The Information	
8	date PSN assessment and accreditation Hart's data may be at risk.	testing the latest PSN report was	should be undertaken at the earliest time.	During the audit it was explained that a PSN assessment has been booked in for w/c 4 th Oct and the onpremises ones are scheduled for 2 nd November. As of 07 th December 2021 Hart Council will no longer require	Closed

	GDPR			to submit a PSN assessment to Cabinet Office due to services being migrated to the public internet.		
9	Governance is weakened if not all required data is input into the Data Audit sheets	There are Data Audit sheets in place for all directorates and they are mainly completed. However, there are instances where cells are not completed and the header is not filled in for the Controller.	Data Audit sheets should be complete.	Most of the information for the incomplete cells is not applicable. For example, the Data Audit sheets are not used for logging personal data breaches. These are recorded in the Incident Register. However, there is an action in the UK GDPR Project Plan to complete the cells. Although information about the Controller needs to be added to the Data	Governance Officer	April 2022

			Audit sheets, it is already held elsewhere i.e., in data processing and sharing agreements, registers etc. However, there is an action in the UK GDPR Project Plan to complete the header for the Controller.		
not be dealt with in line with GDPR legislation and requirements leaving the council of financial and reputational risk.	It was explained that there are gaps regarding appropriate training for agency staff for GDPR or cyber security as they do not officially get picked up and there is nothing written down.	Agency staff should have appropriate training on GDPR and cyber security.	Current agency staff attended a UK GDPR briefing on 22/11/2021. In future, HR will provide the Information Governance Officer (for GDPR training) and the IT Client Officer and the Audit Manager (cyber security training) with the details of any agency staff who start with the	Governance Officer / IT Client Officer and Audit Manager	Completed (for GDPR training)

Appendix D - IT controls – Final February 2022

		Council so that they are invited to training.	